



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/997,489	12/23/1997	CHARLES L. DENNIS	51410-P003US	1370
27517	7590 02/27/2006		EXAMINER	
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P. 2200 ROSS AVENUE			RUDY, ANDREW J	
SUITE 2800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 75201-2784			3627	
			DATE MAIL ED. 02 07 000	,

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		08/997,489	DENNIS, CHARLES L.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Opened for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lety filed the mailing date-of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 De</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 107-112 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 107-112 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or con Papers	vn from consideration.				
·· _	·	_				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
A44			-			
Attachment 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Applicant's December 7, 2005 Appeal Brief has been reviewed. It is convincing

with regards to the May 13, 2005 Final Rejection. Thus, the May 13, 2005 Final

Rejection is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 107-112 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Salimando, US 5,561,704 in view of Bickham et al., US 5,530,438.

Salimando discloses a method for processing data from a plurality of merchants

where a customer's, using a wireless device, geographic area is identified,

e.g. cols. 2-6. Salimando does not specifically disclose the term financial data, nor

specifically disclose the term point of sale (pos) locations. However, it is noted that in

broad scope and content the data transmitted by Salimando may be broadly construed

as within the realm of financial data. The term financial data is extremely broad in

scope and content.

Bickham discloses a pos system, e.g. 106, a wireless device, e.g. 102, financial

transaction data, e.g. 122, a customer, e.g. 118, and a customer local, e.g. 120.

To have determined a geographic area to the customer while receiving financial

data from a pos for Salimando would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

art, in view of Bickham.

The motivation for having done such would have been to implement common knowledge technology associated with wireless devices to process financial data, while pinpointing an customer's position within a electronic communication system.

Official Notice is taken that using a bar code scanner and identifying a specific antenna that is communicating with a customer's wireless device has been common knowledge in the pos system art and telecommunication art.

It is noted that intended use claim language, e.g. "for processing" or "if" is given less patentable weight than positively recited claim language, e.g. "receiving financial data" as recited from claim 1. Further, if the "if" language need not occur. Thus, a non-event is not needed to fully meet Applicant's claim language.

Applicant's December 7, 2005 REMARKS have been reviewed, but are moot in light of the new grounds of rejection. Again, the Examiner is cognizant of the prosecution history. However, the present action is deemed warranted.

3. Further references of interest are noted on the attached PTO-892.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's February 4, 2005 amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander G. Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Joseph Rudy Primary Examiner Art Unit 3627